

In what year was the program's assessment plan most recently reviewed? 2015

1. Student Learning Outcomes

Which of the program's student learning outcomes were assessed

3. Assessment Methods: Evaluation Process

What process was used to evaluate the artifacts of student learning, and by whom? Please identify the tools(s) (e.g., a rubric) used in the process and include them in/with this report.

Supervising faculty were supposed to score the paper against a google form version of the rubric. However, due to a communication breakdown in the philosophy department office, the supervisors were not sent the links to the form, so no data was collected.

4. Data/Results

What were the results of the assessment of learning outcomes? Please be specific. Do achievement differ by teaching modality (e.g., online vs. face-to-face) or on-ground location (e.g., STL campus, Madrid campus, other off campus site)?

There were no data this year.

5. Findings: Interpretations & Conclusions

What have you learned from these results? What does the data tell you?

Conclusion: our process for assessing the MA program has failed and must be revised.

6. u?4.3 21.339



7.