Saint Louis University Program Asse ssment Plan

Program (Major, Minor, Core): Public and Social Policy, PhD Department: Sociology and Anthropology Political Science

College/School:College of Arts and Sciences

Person(s) Responsible for Implementinghe Plan: NessSandoval and James Gilsinan

Date Submitted: September 24, 2015

Program Learning Outcomes	Curriculum Mapping	Assessment Methods	Use of Assessment Data
		How do students demonstrate their performance of the program learnin outcomes? How does the program measure student performance? Distinguish your direct measures from indirect measures.	

Students will be able to identify and evaluate ethical problems related to research and public policy.	SOC/POLS 5850Policy Evaluation and Assessment POLS 5340Policy Ethics POLS 6310Policy Process	Formal case analyses (D) Embedded questions in the mid term and final exams (D) Endof-course student surveys will solicit selfevaluations of their development in the context of this SLO (I) Alumni surveys (administered on and five postgraduation) will solicit from graduates selfevaluations of their continued development in the cotext of this SLO, and will particularly focus on how the program has impacted professional competency (I)	pedagogy and/or assessment revisions will be made to the program director and department

2.	Students will be able to design and execute methodologically sound policy research that extends the knowledge of both policy and other social science disciplines.		Research skills (D) Dissertation will be evaluated by the dissertation chair. The chair will produce a written evaluation of the student research skills (D) Research pro-seminar will provide opportunities for them to reflect on their research skills (I) Job placement will show whether students get jobs using these skills. (I) Endof-course student surveys will solicit selfevaluations of their development in the contexof this SLO (I)	Assessment results will be analyzannually by the program director and chairs of Sociology and Anthropology and Political Science and their faculties; recommendations for curriculum, pedagogy and/or assessment revisions will be made to the program director and department chairs and faculty on an annual basis that will allow appropriate implementation.
----	--	--	---	--

3. Students will be able to evaluate, critique, and synthesize competing theoretical explanations in their chosen area of study.

SOC/POLS 585(Policy Evaluation and Assessment

participation (D)

Written assignments and course

POLS 6310 Policy Process

Preliminaryexam (D)

POLS 6330 Public Finance Theory

1. It is <u>not recommended</u>to try and assess (in depth) all of the program learning outcomes every semester. It is best practice to plan out when each outcome will be assessed focus on 1 or 2 each semester/academic yellowescribe the responsibilities, timeline, and the processor implementing this assessment plan.

The materials and artifacts for the outcomes will be collected on an annual basis. The outcomes will be evaluated on a year cycle. See table in 3a. Year 1 is defined as the 200176 academic year.

2. Please expain how these assessment efforts are coordinated with Madrid (courses and/or program)?

These assessment efforts are not coordinated with any other courses or programs in Madrid. There are no(h)-4(yyn)9.1(re)918(it)

Ph.D. Learning Outcome	Curricular Mapping	Year
Students will be able to identify and evaluate ethical problems related to research and public policy.	SOC/POLS 585@Policy Evaluation and Assessment POLS 5340 Policy Ethics POLS 6310 –	

How students were included in the process and/or how student input was gatherein an orated into the assessment plan.

This year at the annual retreat for graduate studewts invited students to offer opinions about the assessment. Going forward graduate students will have the opportunity to provide feedback and make suggestianting the assessment the outcomes. They will have an opportunity to review the report and offer their advice and experience as it relates to the learning outcomes.

b. What external sources were consulted in the development of this assessment plan?

VanVooren, Nicole & SpalteRoth, Roberta. 2011. Sociology Master's Gra 42.622 n J0 Td[(G)2(2.622 n) http://www.dc/s/del/s/de

Exam 1: Rubric

The first paper focuses on the ability of the student to identify an formulate a researchable problem.				
	Developing	Competent	Exemplary	Points
	1 point	2 points	3 points	
A. The paper provides sufficient background to demonstrate that is in fact a problem. The author presents a succinct but thorough summary of anomalies, contradictions, and issue importance as occur in both past and current research literature relevant to the problem area. The reader should be able to clearly answer the question of the problem area.	they			
B. Flowing from the background information, a problem statement specifically describes the gap in knowledge that the research will Theoretical and empirical terms must be clearly articulated and explained. From within the larger problem space, the precise iss research targets must be readily apparent.	l fill.			
C. The theoretical/conceptual framework that anchors the proble explicated sufficiently so that the paper demonstrates knowledge field or subfield the student is addressing.				
D. The policy implications of the research are articulated and an in the appropriate policy literature.	chored			
E. The method for researching this problem is clearly stated and justification is provided for why the method is appropriate.				

Exam 2: Rubric

The second paper focuses on the ability of the student to identify literature relevant to the ingladed ressed; to critique the existing research and clearly articulate the strengths and weaknesses of the studies relevant to the student's own project demonstrate how the current project fits into the scholarly flow of research in the area

	Developing	Competent	Exemplary	Points
	1 point	2 points	3 points	
A. Knowledge of the most recent scholarship in the area concern.	of			
B. Knowledge of historical scholarship relevant to the top	ic.			
C. An understanding of the theoretizad conceptual literature linked to the research being undertaken.				

D. Ability to link the project to ongoing policy discussions and