College/School: School of Education

Date (Month/Year): September 2023 Assessment Contact: Jody Wood

In what year was the data upon which this report is based collected? 2022-2023

In what year was the program's assessment plan most recently reviewed/updated? 2022

Is this program accredited by an external program/disciplinary/specialized accrediting organization or subject to state/licensure requirements? Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (Principal/Superintendent Licensure is an option for Ph.D. Students)

If yes, please share how this affects the program's assessment process (e.g., number of learning outcomes assessed, mandated exams or other assessment methods, schedule or timing of assessment, etc.): Not Applicable; it does not affect the process.

1. Student Learning Outcomes

Which of the program's student learning outcomes were assessed in this annual assessment cycle? (Please provide the complete list of the program's learning outcome statements and **bold** the SLOs assessed in this cycle.)

SLU Outcome:

Assess relevant literature or scholarly contributions in the field(s) of study.

Ph.D. Outcome #1:

Graduates will apply evidence based literature to leadership practices.

2. Assessment Methods: Artifacts of Student Learning

Which artifacts of student learning were used to determine if students achieved the outcome(s)? Please describe the artifacts in detail, identify the course(s) in which they were collected, and if they are from program majors/graduates and/or other students. Clarify if any such courses were offered a) online, b) at the Madrid campus, or c) at any other off-campus location.

The artifacts directly measuring student learning of applying evidence based literature to leadership appearatice

Comprehensive Exms

The Comprehensive Exam is a written, take-home exam given to Ph.D. students who are in the final semester of coursework and before the dissertation process begins. It is exemplary of Ph.D. students' most advanced work outside of the dissertation. Students are given a number of questions, one for each of the Ph.D. courses they have taken during their program. They have 2

3.

were approaching passing in that the plan was supported through multiple citations to the discipline-based literature, but the application of course content was limited to one course. In addition, only three of the four elements of the plan of action were adequately addressed. The student outcomes for this signature assignment could have been improved by increasing the detail, substantive nature, and citations regarding the timeline and monitoring/evaluation plan.

5. Findings: Interpretations & Conclusions

What have you learned from these results? What does the data tell you? Address both a) learning gaps and possible curricular or pedagogical remedies, and b) strengths of curriculum and pedagogy.

Given the data from the artifacts assessed during the 2022-2023 assessment cycle, our Ph.D. graduates are able

- Revise the rubric with new language that provides clarity and ability to retain professional judgment when scoring the comprehensive exams.
- Increase initial instruction and support for students in developing plans, with specific focus on timelines and monitoring and evaluating plans, that are supported by evidence-based practice with literature citations.
- Review the prompts to highlight the importance of a fully developed leadership plan for action that addresses all challenges.

If no changes are being made, please explain why.	

7. Closing the Loop: Reievof Preious Assessment Findings and Changes

A. What is at least one change your program has implemented in recent years as a result of preious assessment data?

As a faculty, we decided to realign the rubrics used in EDR 6970 (Research Topics) to address all student learning outcomes for EDR 6990 last year. In EDR 6970, the teacher of record reviewed the course materials and adjusted the curriculum to include instruction and review on the research methods and technical writing skills necessary to finish Chapter 4 and 5. The rationale for this change was that it is imperative students learn how to analyze data, report findings, and draw conclusions before enrolling in EDR 6990 and EDR 6970. Beginning in Spring 2023, students in the Ph.D. program began to receive feedback on the rubric related to the student learning outcomes in EDR 6970.

EDR 6970 Ph.D. Dissertation Proposal Rubric

Chapter 1: Introduction				
Proposal Attribute	Accomplished*	Proficient	Developing	de me en etnete e maioime el
		demonstrates adequate		demonstrates minimal pknowledge and application
		a framework for the	of presenting the	of presenting the
				introduction, exhibits limited
		interest, lays the broad		tounderstanding, appears to
			nhave omissions in linking	
			lyengaging the reader or	ofhoughts, minimal quality of engaging the reader or
				using scholarly literature.
			The introduction may on	
		the dissertation.	the outline of the	
			dissertation.	
Background	demonstrates exemplar	demonstrates adequate	demonstrates limited cri	demonstrates minimal
Daoitgi daila	critical knowledge and	critical knowledge and	knowledge and applicati	
		ampplication in presenting		application in presenting an
	· ·		· ·	issue/problem. Part of the
	the literature, theory, or practice. Part of the	the literature, theory, or practice. Part of the	the literature, theory, or practice. Part of the	background demonstrates minimal knowledge and
		sbackground demonstrate		
		in- depth knowledge and		analysis of key theories
		thoughtful application in		supporting the study.
	stating an intepth analysi	s stating an analysis of ke		
	the study.	gtheories supporting the study.	supporting the study.	
Rationale/Key	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	demonstrates adequate	demonstrates limited	demonstrates minimal
Concepts/Purpose Statement	competence in orienting	tleempetence in orienting	tbempetence in orienting	tbempetence in presenting
		enteader to the central inte		
		u d and reasoning for the sto isThe purpose statement i		
	clear and concise.	clear and concise.	present but lacks clarity	
	0.001 0.10 001101001	0.001 0.10 001101001	conciseness.	-

Proposal Attribute	Accomplished*	Proficient	Developing	Beginning	
Research	demonstrates exemplary	demonstrates adequate	demonstrates limited crit		
Questions/Hypotheses/Variab	lecritical knowledge and	critical knowledge and	knowledge and application	on	
S	application in presenting	application in presenting	0.5 (p)0.5 (l)9.1 (i)-1 353	3.28 503.4 Tm [(c)-b940.5	(e a)0.5 (n)11.
	clear, interrogative	clear, interrogative			
	statements or hypothese	sstatements or hypothese	es		
	be answered by the	to be answered by the			
	research. If necessary,	research. If necessary,			
	variables are presented	variables are presented			
	accurately.	accurately.			

Chapter 2: Review of Literature

Proposal Attribute	Accomplished*	Proficient	Developing	Beginning
Chapter Summary	that brings the content together and prepares the reader for the next chapt	r conclusion to the chapte that brings the content dogether and prepares the meader for the next chap	r conclusion to the chapte that plausibly brings the	content together or prepare higher reader for the next and apter.
Logic Model Organization	competence in organizing the sections of the chapt using the logic model, where one section builds	ethe sections of the chap using the logic model, where one section builds	gcompetence in organizir ethe sections of the chap using the logic model, where one section builds	demonstrates minimal gcompetence in organizing ethe sections of the chapter using the logic model. Sections do not build on the bastents of the previous section.
APA references, citations, styl	demonstrates competent in presenting references according to APA guidelines; properly formatted; abundant and significant use of references	acceptable level of presenting references according to APA guidelines; properly	demonstrates limited knowledge of application presenting the reference	demonstrates minimal behavior of application of spresenting the references; beforect formatting; missing references

Scorer: Comprehensive Written Exams Pass/Fail:

Student Number: Question: A

Passing papers must achieve the expectations delineated in the shaded cells.

CATEGORY: Content	Pass	Approaching Passing	Not Pass	Comments
SLU Outcome Alignment: 3.1 Graduates will apply evidence-based knowledge of educational leadership to address problems in broader contexts.	an <u>adequate</u> analysis of the problems, issues, or challenges, identifying <u>more than one appropriate</u> theoretical issues or	some obvious problems, issues, or challenges, identifying one theoretical issue or	The answer does not represent an analysis of the problem or the theoretical issues or foundational problems of practice in educational administration.	
EDL Outcomes Alignment: 1.1 Graduates will assess	The answer has an adequate application of knowledge of		'	·

discipline-based literature to analyze educational

educational

administration practices.

The answer synthesizes theories from more than one course in supporting

clear about what you would prioritize.

- Explain who you would involve, why and how you would involve them, and the role they would play.
- Provide an explanation of how you would monitor/ evaluate one course. your work.

The explanation of the plan is supported plan is supported by multiple citations to the disciplinebased literature and the application of case from more than content to the case

The explanation of the through multiple citations to the discipline-based literature and the course content to the application of course from one course.

The explanation of the plan is not supported

EDL Outcomes Alignment: 1.1 Graduates will assess discipline-based literature to analyze educational administration practices.

administration, aspen,

APA References/ Citations