Program-Level Assessment: Annual Report

Program Name (no acronyms): Master of Arts in Teaching Department: Education

Degree or Certificate Level: Masters College/School: School of Education

Date (Month/Year): October 2022 Assessment Contact: Karen Tichy, Program Director

In what year was the data upon which this report is based collected? 2021-2022

In what year was the program's assessment plan most recently reviewed/updated? September 2021

1. Student Learning Outcomes

Which of the program's student learning outcomes were assessed in this annual assessment cycle? (Please list the full, complete learning outcome statements and not just numbers, e.g., Outcomes 1 and 2.)

MEES Standards 3, 7

MEES (Missouri Educator Evaluation System) is the mandatory assessment system for universities that have approved programs to prepare teachers, both those pursuing MoDESE (Missouri Department of Elementary and Secondary Education) certification via either the traditional route or the alternative route. There are nine MEES standards regarding which candidates for Missouri teacher certification must demonstrate proficiency to a prescribed level used state-wide protocols, rubrics, and reporting systems.

In the Master of Arts in Teaching (MAT) evaluation cycle, this year's report is to focus on MEES Standards 3 and 7.

MEES Standard 3 states: The teacher candidate recognizes the importance of long-range planning and curriculum development. The teacher candidate implements curriculum based upon student, district, and state standards.

ongoing instruction.

2. Assessment Methods: Artifacts of Student Learning

Which artifacts of student le (s)1.2M(tu)52 (dp (h)52.1 (t l).13.6 (e 5jpT)uer53)-0.nBT5uedroi7R6a-SaBOBSwA6BVaktBf\$Rat%

-time teachers in either public or non-public schools. The MEES is designed to assess students' competence in nine key areas of teaching based on data collected by means of observations of the teacher certification candidate in the classroom teaching students, reviews of artifacts of teaching and learning, and conferr

3. Assessment Methods: Evaluation Process

What process was used to evaluate the artifacts of student learning, and by whom? Please identify the tools(s) (e.g., a rubric) used in the process and **include them in/with this report document** (do not just refer to the assessment plan).

The MEES scores are determined by university supervisors and school mentors/cooperating teachers by using a DESE es

assessment?

Results have been discussed with team members as the data were compiled given that when the data are submitted by university supervisors and school mentors/cooperating teachers, several members of the program faculty and staff receive them simultaneously.

B. How specifically have you decided to use these findings to improve teaching and learning in your program? For example, perhaps you've initiated one or more of the following:

Changes to the Curriculum or Pedagogies

- Course contentTeaching techniques
- · Improvements in technology
- Prerequisites
- Changes to the Assessment Plan
- Student learning outcomes
- · Artifacts of student learning
- Evaluation process

- · Course sequence
- New courses
- · Deletion of courses
- · Changes in frequency or scheduling of course offerings
- Evaluation tools (e.g., rubrics)
- · Data collection methods
- · Frequency of data collection

Standard 4:

Standard 5: Positive Classroom Environment. The teadardidate uses an understanding of individual/group motivation and behavior to create a learning environment that encourages active engagement in learning, positive social interaction, and restriction.

U-phditela@hdl2@ahtl8dat0.ddex[()]TJ -0.c1 Tf 12-2.7 (s)3(r)-3 (002 T2.7n-0.7.1)-16 (d i)e0 (r)-5 (Tc 0.003 Tw 0-0.7.1)-ble 16 (d i) (i)-24bhi d,inheec not possess the nessary knowledge, therefore, the standard is not evident or is

Standard 6: Effective Communication. Theeacher candidate models effective verbal, nonverbal, and media communication techniques with students, colleagues
families to foster active inquiry, collaboration, and supportive interaction in the classroom.

0-Theteacher candidate does not possess the necessary knowledge, therefore, the standard is not evident or is incorrect in performance.

1-Emerging Candidate: The teacher candidate is able to articulate the necessary knowledge, but does not demonstrate in performance.

2-Developing Candade: The teacher candidate is able to articulate the necessary knowledge and demonstrates in performance with some success.

3-Skilled Candidate: The teacher candidate is able to articulate the necessary knowledge and effectively demonstrates in performance

Expected level of performance by the end the student teaching semester.

4-Exceeding Candidate: The teacher candidate adapts and develops the lesson according to the teaching environment/ student responsea(I) elescriptors in the skilled candidate (3) column must be met as well asat least one descriptor below):

Standard 7: Student Assessment and Data Analysis. The teacher candidate understands and uses formative and summative assessing its to assess the learner's
progress and uses both classroom and standardized assessment data to plan ongoing instruction.

0-Theteacher candidate does not possess the necessary knowledge, therefore, the standard is not	1-Emerging Candidate: The teacher candidate is able to articulate the necessary knowledge, but does not	2-Developing Candidate: The teacher candidate is able to articulate the necessary knowledge and demonstrates in	3-Skilled Candidate: The teacher candidate is able to articulate the necessary knowledge and effectively	4-Exceeding Candidate: The teacher candidate adapts and develops the lesson according to the teaching environment/ student responsed
evident or is incorrect in performance.	demonstrate in performance.	performance with some success		descriptors in the skilled candidate (3 column must be meas well asat least one descriptor below):
			Expected level of performance by the end of the student teaching semester.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
 Provides no evidence of data from assessments to monitor the progress of students. 	Articulates the importance of collecting assessment data.	Uses formative and/or summative assessment data to monitor the progress of the class as a whole.	 Uses formative and/o summative assessment data to effectively monitor the progress of individual students and the class as a whole. 	 Analyzes trend data to respond instructionally, resulting in a positive impact on student learning.
· Provides no			trie class as a whole.	 Uses multiple assessments to accurately maitor, analyze, and triangulate the progress of each student and the class as a whole

- Supports students in creating and articulating progress toward goals.
- Uses formative assessment strategies to adjust midesson instruction.

Standard 9: Professiona Collaboration. The teacher candidate has effective working relationships with students, families, school colleagues, and not provide the control of the control of

0-The