

Program Level Assessment: Annual Report

Program Name (no acronyms) Medical Family Therapy Department: Family and Community Medicine

Degree or Certificate Level: PhD College/School School of Medicine

Date (Month/Year) December/ 2023 Assessment Contact Max Zubatsky

In what year was the data upon which this report is based collected? 2022-2023

In what year was the program's assessment plan most recently reviewed? 2022-2023

1. Student Learning Outcomes

Which of the program's student learning outcomes were assessed in this annual assessment cycle? (Please provide the complete list of the program's learning outcome statements and the SLOs assessed in this cycle.)

Outcome I.A.1.: Students will demonstrate an expanded knowledge of theoretical and clinical practice in MFT, medical family therapy and integrative care.

Outcome I.B.1: Extend the knowledge base of MFT through original research and intellectual inquiry.

Outcome II.B.2: Contribute to the field through the development of effective teaching skills.

2. Assessment Methods: Artifacts of Student Learning

Which artifacts of student learning were used to determine if students achieved the outcome(s)? Please describe the artifacts in detail, identify the course(s) in which they were collected and if they are from program majors/graduates and/or other students. Clarify if any such courses were offered a) only at the Madrid campus 1 ()

The program has three main methods of evaluation. One method is through yearly advisor review meetings. The student reports all of their accomplishments and benchmarks of the program in their annual advisor document. The second assessment method is using Foliotek, a portfolio that tracks the student completing benchmarks in core program goals and learning objectives. There are specific assignments tied to each Foliotek section. Third, the first year Personal and Professional Development Evaluation (PPDE) has been replaced by the 360 evaluation. This is a full evaluation of the student's coursework, clinical skills, and professional feedback from other faculty.

4. Data/Results

What were the results of the assessment of learning outcome(s)? Please be specific on achievement difference by teaching modality (e.g., online vs. face-to-face) or on-ground location (e.g., STL campus, Madrid campus, other off campus site)

The following are the results of the previous assessments of the learning outcomes. These outcomes are from all on

3.) Third, in the summer of each year, the Program Director and internship coordinator have the annual clinical site review meeting. Both of these members review the data and effectiveness of clinical sites for the progression of student's knowledge and skills. The results from this meeting help determine which sites continue to be affiliated with the program.

B. How specifically have you decided to use these findings to improve teaching and learning in your program? For example, perhaps you've initiated one or more of the following

Changes to the Curriculum or Pedagogies

- Course content
- Teaching techniques
- Improvements in technology
- Prerequisites

- Course sequence
- New courses
- Deletion of courses
- Changes in frequency or scheduling of course offerings

Changes to the Assessment Plan

- Student learning outcomes
- Artifacts of student learning
- Evaluation process

- Evaluation tools (e.g., rubrics)
- Data collection methods
- Frequency of data collection

Please describe the actions you are taking as a result of these findings.

As a result of these findings, we have developed the following this past year:

Changes to the Curriculum or Pedagogies:

-Course con3 (a)-c 0.00s9og th3 (-0.7 (r)-2.9<60.0ig41n0r627 Orp (t)-)2 on me tes € 6.6 (u) el001 Tce -0.008 T



