#### COUNCIL ON SOCIAL WORK EDUCATION - FORM AS 4(M) SAINT LOUIS UNIVERSITY MASTER'S SOCIAL WORK PROGRAM ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

#### Form AS 4(M) A form required for Reaffirmation, Candidacy, and ongoing compliance per AS 4.0.3.

#### Submitting Form AS 4 for Reaffirmation Self-Study & Candidacy Benchmarks

This form is used to assist the Commission on Accreditation in the evaluation of the program's compliance with the accreditation standard below:

**4.0.3:** The program uses Form AS 4(B) and/or Form AS 4(M) to report its most recent assessment outcomes for each program option to constituents and the public on its website and routinely up-dates (minimally every 2 years) its findings.

All programs accredited by the Council on Social Work Education's (CSWE) Commission on Accreditation (COA) are required to measure and report student learning outcomes. All students are assessed using a minimum of two measures on their mastery of the nine competencies that comprise the Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards (EPAS) and any additional competencies programs may choose to add. These holistic competencies reflect the dimensions (knowledge, values, skills, and cognitive & affective processes) of social work practice that all social workers are expected to master during their professional training.

Programs determine a percentage-based benchmark for each competency and determine an outcome-measure benchmark (minimum score) for each measure. The competency benchmark (which can differ for each competency) represents the minimum percent of students the program expects to have achieved the outcome measure benchmarks in both/all measures for each of the nine competencies. The program then determines the percentage of students that attained each outcome measure (e.g., minimum score or higher), and aggregates the percentages for both/all measures together to obtain the percentage of students demonstrating competence inclusive of two (2) or more measures. The result of aggregating both/all outcome measure percentages provides the percentage of students achieving the competency benchmark. An aggregated percentage at or above the competency benchmark is considered achievement of that competency. If the program has more than one program option, the program must report data for each program option, and also an aggregate of all program options combined to determine an overall percentage of students across all program options achieving the competency benchmark.

## Posting Form AS 4 for Ongoing Compliance with AS 4.0.3

Per the requirement of CSWE COA's recognizing body, the Council on Higher Education Accreditation (CHEA), and accreditation standard 4.0.3, programs must post this form publicly on its website and routinely up-date (minimally every 2 years) its findings. Upon request, programs must provide CSWE with the weblink to the published form on the program's website where it is accessible to the public. Data presented on the form must be collected within 2 years of today's date at all times.

## Summary of the Program's Assessment Plan | Generalist Practice

All students are assessed using a minimum of two measures on their mastery of the nine competencies that comprise the Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards of the Council on Social Work Education and any additional competencies programs may choose to add. Summarize the program's competency-based assessment plan. Programs may add/delete rows to accurately reflect the number measures included in the data presented.

#### Assessment Measure #1: Field Instrument

Dimension(s) assessed:

## Summary of the Program's Assessment Plan | Specialized Practice

Students are assessed using a minimum of two measures on their mastery of the nine competencies that comprise the Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards of the Council on Social Work Education and any additional competencies programs may choose to add, that reflect the area of specialized practice. Summarize the program's competency-based assessment plan. Programs may add/delete rows to accurately reflect the number of areas of specialized practice and each measure included in the data presented.

Assessment Measure #1: Field Instrument					
Dimension(s) assessed:	K,V,S,C & A P				
When/where students are assessed:	Applied Behavior				
	Analysis				
	Concentration				
	Practicum				
Who assessed student competence:	Course				
	Instructor				
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9:	80%				
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have	80%				
achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies					
1-9:					
Assessment Measure #2: Master Measure	-				
Dimension(s) assessed:	K,V,S,C & A P				
When/where students are assessed:	Comprehensive				
	Exam				
Who assessed student competence:	Course				
	Instructor				
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement)	80%				
for Competencies 1-9:					
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have	80%				
achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies					
1-9:					

## Area of Specialized Practice #1: Applied Behavior Analysis (ABA)

Area of Specialized	Practice #2: Clinical
---------------------	-----------------------

Assessment Measure #1: Field Instrument					
Dimension(s) assessed:	K,V,S,C & A P				
When/where students are assessed:	Clinical				
	Concentration				
	Practicum				
Who assessed student competence:	Course				
	Instructor				
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement)	80%				
for Competencies 1-9:					
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies	80%				
1-9:					
Assessment Measure #2: Course Embedded					
Dimension(s) assessed:	K,V,S,C & A P				
When/where students are assessed:	Clinical				
	Concentration e				
	Courses				
Who assessed student competence:	Course				
	Instructor				
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement)					

Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-

Assessment Measure #1: Field Instrument					
Dimension(s) assessed:	K,V,S,C & A P				
When/where students are assessed:	Community &				
	Organization				
	Concentration				
	Practicum				
Who assessed student competence:	Course				
	Instructor				
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9:	80%				
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have	80%				
achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies					
1-9:					
Assessment Measure #2: Course Embedded					
Dimension(s) assessed:	K,V,S,C & A P				
When/where students are assessed:	Community &				
	Organization				
	Concentration				
	Courses				
Who assessed student competence:	Course				
	Instructor				
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement)	80%				
for Competencies 1-9:					
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have	80%				
achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 1-9:					

# Area of Specialized Practice #3: Community and Organization

# **Directions for completing Form AS 4**

Indicate the benchmark percentage for each competency. The competency benchmark is the percent of students the program expects to have achieved both/all outcome measure benchmarks. Programs calculate

Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice						
Competency 4: Engage in Practice- informed Research and Research- informed Practice	80%	80%	85.75%	72.75%	89.65%	95.85
Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice	80%	80%	*88.50%	72.75%	*86.8%	*75%
Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	**96.20%	69.6%	98.55	93.75%
Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	95.5%	81%	90.8%	88.85%
Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations,	80%	80%				

and Communities						
Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	90.55%	70.55%	92.65%	91.65

# Assessment Data Collected during the Academic Year 2021-2022 Program Option #2: Off-Campus/Online and On-Ground Hybrid Delivery

COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (%) (GENERALIST)	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (AREA OF SPECIALIZED PRACTICE)	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ACHIEVING BENCHMARK		
			Generalist Practice	Area of Specialized Practice #2 Clinical	
Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	80%	80%	*80.1%	*95.8%	
Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice		80%	*95%	96.65%	

Competency 3: Advance Human

informed Research and Research- informed Practice				
Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice	80%	80%	81.17%	100%

COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (GENERALIST)	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (AREA OF SPECIALIZED PRACTICE)	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ACHIEVING BENCHMARK				
			Generalist Practice	Area of Specialized Practice #1 ABA	Area of Specialized Practice #2 Clinical	Area of Specialized Practice #3 Community and Organization	
Competency 0: Sample Row	e.g. 80% of students will demonstrate competence inclusive of 2 or more measures	students will demonstrate	(Aggregate percentage of students, inclusive of all program options, who demonstrated competence) e.g. 85%	(Aggregate percentage of students, inclusive of all program options, who demonstrated competence) e.g. 95%	(Aggregate percentage of students, inclusive of all program options, who demonstrated competence) e.g. 90%	(Aggregate percentage of students, inclusive of all program options, who demonstrated competence) e.g. 80%	
Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	80%	80%	88.68%	98.5%	97.18%	93.7%	
Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice	80%						

Environmental Justice						
Competency 4: Engage In Practice- informed Research and Research- informed Practice	80%	80%	87.46 %	72.75%	94.83%	95.85%
Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice	80%	80%	76.96%	72.75%	93.4%	75%
Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	80.63%	69.6%	99.28%	93.75%
Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	92.2%	81%	91.4%	88.85%
Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	84.63%	82.6%	95.28%	88.90%

Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	84.45%	70.55%	96.33%	91.65%
--	-----	-----	--------	--------	--------	--------

\*Measure 1 is reflective but not Measurement 2 was not offered in the identified format for this academic year.

\*\* Course taught by an unresponsive adjunct with no data.